

**CoC Standards, Compliance, and Funding  
Committee  
Agenda  
April 30<sup>th</sup>, 2026  
1:00pm - 2:20 pm**

**[Join Zoom Meeting](#)  
Phone: 1 646-876-9923  
Meeting ID: 88041862364**

Meetings are public. Alameda County residents with lived experience of homelessness are encouraged to attend. Public Comment will be taken at the beginning of each meeting and is limited to 2 minutes per person.

#### Helpful links:

- **CoC, Standards, Compliance, and Funding Committee Google Drive:** [https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1ZcUw-W73sqkW7AS8tHp3ed-NCaZHnEi2?usp=drive\\_link](https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1ZcUw-W73sqkW7AS8tHp3ed-NCaZHnEi2?usp=drive_link)
- **CoC Standards, Compliance, and Funding Committee Webpage:** <https://everyonehome.org/about/committees/coc-standards-compliance-and-funding-committee/>
- **Acronyms and Glossary:** <https://everyonehome.org/main/continuum-of-care/coc-member-resources/>
- **Alameda County Data Dashboard:** [https://homelessness.acgov.org/data\\_homeless\\_response.page](https://homelessness.acgov.org/data_homeless_response.page)

#### Committee Purpose

The purpose of the CoC Standards, Compliance, and Funding (SCF) Committee will be to support preparation of applications for CoC funding and support the Leadership Board in fulfilling its obligations as outlined in the HUD's CoC Program Interim Rule at 24 CFR 578.8. You can learn more about the Committee Purpose [here](#).

#### Meeting Purpose / Overview

During the April meeting of the Standards, Compliance, and Funding (SCF) Committee, the Committee Members will vote to approve the CoC wide Evaluation Definitions. The committee will also receive a presentation from Riley Wilkerson of H&H on the Collaborative Applicant Self – Evaluation.

#### Agenda

<p><b>1. Welcome, Introductions, and Icebreaker</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Please come off mute and/or put in the chat your: Name, pronouns, affiliation (organization, etc.).</li> <li>b. Ground Rules</li> <li>c. Agenda</li> <li>d. Icebreaker</li> </ul>	<p>1:00 – 1:05pm</p>
<p><b>2. Approval of Minutes</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Prior to today’s meeting, Homebase distributed the meeting minutes (meeting minutes are the written record of our monthly meetings) from the previous CoC Standards, Compliance, and Funding meeting for committee members to review on.</li> <li>b. The draft minutes have also been posted in the CoC Standards, Compliance, and Funding Committee’s <a href="#">Google Drive folder</a>.</li> <li>c. This time is held for committee members to let us know if they believe the minutes to do not accurately capture the discussion items from the September meeting and need to be amended.</li> <li>d. You may also email Homebase at <a href="mailto:lyn@homebaseccc.org">lyn@homebaseccc.org</a> and <a href="mailto:kris@homebaseccc.org">kris@homebaseccc.org</a> or copying <a href="mailto:alameda@homebaseccc.org">alameda@homebaseccc.org</a>, if you see anything in the draft minutes that are distributed following today’s meeting that should be amended.</li> </ul>	<p>1:05pm – 1:10 pm</p>
<p><b>3. CoC SCF Committee Public Comment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Public Comment will be taken at the beginning of each meeting and is limited to 2 minutes per person.</li> <li>b. Homebase has created a public comment tracker where public comments across CoC meetings will be recorded.</li> <li>c. Comments will be directed to the appropriate CoC committee or County staff.</li> </ul>	<p>1:10 – 1:15 pm</p>
<p><b>4. Evidence Based Solutions Committee (ESC) Update</b> (Ms. Shelley Gonzalez and Sunita Garrett)</p>	<p>1:15 – 1:20 pm</p>
<p><b>5. Evaluation Definition Project: Feedback on Definitions</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. The SCF Committee has been working on the <a href="#">Evaluation Definitions Project</a>. Through this project, the Committee recommends that the Leadership Board adopt CoC-wide definitions for the following evaluation terms: Exceeds Expectations, Meets Expectations, and Needs Improvement. The purpose of this project is to create consistency, understanding, and transparency among evaluators, those being evaluated, and the community reviewing evaluation results.</li> <li>b. During the December 4<sup>th</sup> 2025 meeting, Committee members began discussing project planning for this project. Homebase drafted the <a href="#">Evaluation Definitions Project Plan</a> based off feedback from committee members in the previous meeting and has shared it with the Committee members for feedback.</li> </ul>	<p>1:20 – 1:50 pm</p>

<p>Homebase also drafted focus group questions and shared them with the Committee members for feedback.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>c. Between December 2025 – February 2026, Homebase and the SCF Committee co-chairs have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Reached out to Alameda County leads for Coordinated Entry, the Collaborative Applicant and HMIS for feedback on the evaluation definitions</li> <li>ii. Met with the HMIS, and ESC Committees for feedback on the evaluation definitions</li> </ul> </li> <li>d. <a href="#">All feedback is documented here</a> as it was received.</li> <li>e. During the March SCF Committee meeting, Committee Members edited evaluation definitions informed by feedback received from Alameda County leads for Coordinated Entry, the Collaborative Applicant, HMIS and the HMIS and ESC committees. Members determined that no additional focus groups or other forms of engagement are necessary for the project.</li> <li>f. After a discussion of the definitions and edits, the Committee members came to these finalized versions: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <u>Exceeds Deliverables</u>: Achieves all required standards and responsibilities laid out in the project scope or contractual obligations and demonstrates clear added value, such as: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Implementing improvements,</li> <li>2. Going beyond scope,</li> <li>3. Modeling best practices, including partnership and collaboration, that advance system goals,</li> <li>4. Engaging in collaborative efforts when possible, and</li> <li>5. Going beyond target numerical deliverables (when applicable).</li> </ol> </li> <li>ii. <u>Meets Expectations/Deliverables</u>: Achieves all required standards, fulfills responsibilities, and meets target numerical deliverables (if applicable) as defined by project scope or contractual obligations.</li> <li>iii. <u>Needs Improvement</u>: Does not consistently meet required standards or deliverables; improvement plans should be developed and monitored.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p><u>Action Item</u>: The Committee members will vote to approve the finalized Evaluation Definitions.</p>	
<p><b>6. Report out from H&amp;H’s Riley Wilkerson on the Collaborative Applicant Self - Evaluation</b></p>	<p>1:50 – 2:20 pm</p>

<p>i. Collaborative Applicant representative Riley Wilkerson of H&amp;H will present on the Collaborative Applicant Self - Evaluation.</p>	
<p><b>7. Closing</b>  a. There will no meeting in May. Meetings will resume June 4<sup>th</sup>.</p>	<p>2:20 – 2:25 pm</p>

## Committee Members

Ivan Ortiz	Operation Dignity
Jennifer Lucky	Alameda County Health Housing and Homelessness (H&H), Committee Co-Chair
Josh Jacobs	City of Berkeley
Laurie Flores	City of Fremont
Marcell Lloyd	St. Vincent De Paul
Ray Corona	Covenant House/Youth Advisory Board (YAB), Committee Co-Chair
Riley Wilkerson	Alameda County Health Housing and Homelessness (H&H)
Ms. Shelley Gonzalez	Leadership Board, Evidence-Based Solutions Committee (ESC) Liaison
Stanley Wong	City of Oakland
Sunita Garrett	Community Member, Evidence-Based Solutions Committee (ESC) Liaison
Tunisia Owens	Family Violence Law Center
Varon Brown	Emerging Leaders
C'Mone Falls	City of Alameda
Erik Martinez	Alameda County Office of Education
Lindal Sambrook	Satellite Affordable Housing Associates
Annette Sanders	Glad Tidings Community Development

## Committee Ground Rules

1. The first time you speak, state your name, preferred pronouns, and where you live/organization or agency affiliation.
2. One person speaks at a time.
3. This is a public discussion, not a debate.
4. Everyone is encouraged to participate.
5. No one or two individuals should dominate a discussion.
6. Listen to and respect other points of view.
7. Do your best to understand the pros and cons of every option, not just those you prefer.
8. Seek first to understand, not to be understood.

