



**2025 Alameda County Continuum of Care (CoC) Competition  
Scoring Criteria for Renewal Projects  
December 3, 2025**

These scoring factors are designed to evaluate performance of projects renewing their application for funding through the FY 2025 CoC Program Competition for the Oakland/Berkeley/Alameda County CoC. Scoring factors align to HUD requirements as set forth in the NOFO. The scoring is based primarily on objective criteria including performance outcomes, grant management and organizational capacity.

**A. Threshold Review**

To be considered for funding in the 2025 local competition, renewal projects must meet the following threshold criteria.

<b>Coordinated Entry:</b> Applicant certifies participation in the Alameda County Coordinated Entry System.
<b>HMIS:</b> Certify participation in the Alameda County HMIS system.
<b>Match:</b> Certify that the applicant has secured the required 25% match as documented in their Project Application in E-SNAPs.

**B. Scored Factors**

All renewal projects will be scored using a 100 point scale.

Criteria	How Evaluated	Maximum Points	Scoring Methodology
<b>Section 1: Project Type – 30 points</b>			
A. HUD Project Component	Component identified by applicant	30	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PSH: 30 points</li> <li>RRH: 25 points</li> <li>TH, TH-RRH: 15 points (including transition projects)</li> <li>SSO – 10 points</li> </ul>
<b>Section 2: Project Performance – 50 points</b>			
A. Housing Stability*	HMIS Data (APR)	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PSH: Percentage of participants who retain housing for 12 months. Benchmark = 96%</li> <li>TH, RRH, TH/RRH: Percentage of participants who exit to permanent housing. Benchmark = 80% for PSH, RRH, TH, TH-RRH; 15% for SSO</li> </ul>
B. Income*	HMIS Data (APR)	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Percentage of adults who sustain or increase income. Benchmark = 75% for PSH, RRH, TH, TH-</li> </ul>

Criteria	How Evaluated	Maximum Points	Scoring Methodology
			RRH; 60% for SSO
C. Benefits*	HMIS Data (APR)	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Percent of participants who obtain or maintain non-cash mainstream benefits and health insurance. Benchmark = 70% for PSH, RRH, TH, TH-RRH; 50% for SSO</li> </ul>
D. Exits to Homelessness*	HMIS Data (APR)	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Percentage of participants who exit to homeless situations. Benchmark = 5% of less for PSH; 10% or less for RRH, TH, TH-RRH; 40% or less for SSO.</li> </ul>
A. HMIS Data Quality	HMIS Data (APR)	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Calculation = Average of data quality scores for data elements listed in APR Question 6a, 6b, and 6c. Benchmark = 98% for PSH, RRH, TH and TH-RRH; 95% for SSO.</li> </ul>
*See Appendix A for calculation methodology and point scale.			
<b>Section 3: Grants Management and Organizational Capacity – 15 points</b>			
A. Grant Spending	Data provided by applicant	10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Calculation = For most recently completed grant term, total CoC grant expended divided by total amount of CoC award.</li> <li>Score 10 points for 98% expenditure, 7 points for 90 to 97.9% expenditure, 4 points for 80% to 90% expenditure and zero points for less than 80% expenditure.</li> </ul>
B. Timely Reporting and Grant Draw Downs	Data provided by Applicant	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>One point if APR from most recently completed grant term was submitted on time. Applicant must provide due date of APR and date APR was marked as complete in Sage.</li> <li>One point if LOCSS drawdowns were completed at least quarterly in the most recently completed grant term. Applicant must provide dates of draws.</li> </ul>
B. Fiscal Management – Agency Audit Findings	Information provided by Applicant; copy of audit	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant will provide a copy of the organizational audit and provide explanatory narrative as needed.</li> <li>Three points if the audit shows no outstanding findings; 2 points if evidence provided that issues have been addressed.</li> </ul>
<b>Section 4: Service Participation Requirements – 5 points</b>			
Service Participation Requirements	Information provided by Applicant	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Applicant will provide a copy of a program agreement, contract, occupancy agreement, lease or equivalent documenting service participation requirements for the program or project.</li> </ul>
<b>TOTAL ALL SCORED FACTORS</b>		<b>100</b>	

**Appendix A: Performance Measurement Calculations and Point Scoring**

Factor	Calculation Methodology	Point Scoring		
		PSH	RRH, TH, TH-RRH	SSO
<p>A: Housing Stability: PSH.</p> <p>Participants retain permanent housing for longer than 12 months or exit to permanent housing.</p>	<p><u>Data Source:</u> APR Question 22a1.</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> Number of leavers and stayers who participated in the project for 366 days or longer.</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> Total participants in the project during the reporting period, minus stayers with 365 days of participation or less.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 96% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 90 to 95.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 80% to 89.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 79.9% or less = zero points</li> </ul>		
<p>A: Housing Stability: TH, RRH, TH-RRH, SSO</p> <p>Participants obtain permanent housing.</p>	<p><u>Data Source:</u> APR Question 23c</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> Total to permanent housing destinations.</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> Total people who exited minus people whose destinations exclude them from the calculation.</p>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 80% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 70 to 79.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 60 to 69.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 59.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 15% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 10% to 14.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 5 to 9.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 4.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>
<p>B. Income: All Projects</p> <p>Adults who maintain or increase income</p>	<p><u>Data Source:</u> APR Q 19a1 and 19a2</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> Adults who gained or increased income from entry to annual assessment.</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> Total adults</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 70% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 60% to 69.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 50% to 59.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 49.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 70% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 60% to 69.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 50% to 59.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 49.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 60% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 50% to 59.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 40% to 49.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 39.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>

Factor	Calculation Methodology	Point Scoring		
		PSH	RRH, TH, TH-RRH	SSO
	(including those with no income) with income information at Start and Annual Assessment/Exit.			
<p>C. Non-Cash Benefits: All Project Types</p> <p>Participants who maintain non-cash mainstream benefits and health insurance</p>	<p><u>Data Source:</u> APR Q20 and Q21</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> Participants with 1 or more sources of benefits at latest annual assessment for stayers + 1 or more source sources of benefits at exit for leavers + 1 source of health insurance for stayers + more than one source of health insurance for stayers + 1 source of health insurance for leavers + more than 1 source of health insurance for leavers.</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> Total adults + total adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment + total served – total stayers no yet required to have an annual assessment.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 70% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 60% to 69.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 50% to 59.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 49.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 70% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 60% to 69.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 50% to 59.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 49.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 50% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 40% to 49.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 30% to 39.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 29.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>
<p>D. Exits to Homelessness: All Project Types</p> <p>Participants who exit to homelessness.</p>	<p><u>Data Source:</u> APR Question 5 and 23c</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> Total leavers – people who exited to permanent housing – people deceased – people who exited to institutional destinations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5% or less = 10 points</li> <li>• 10% to 5.1% = 7 points</li> <li>• 15% to 10.1% = 4 points</li> <li>• 15.1% or more = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 10% or less = 10 points</li> <li>• 15% to 10.1% = 7 points</li> <li>• 20% to 15.19% = 4 points</li> <li>• 20.1% or more = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 40% or less = 10 points</li> <li>• 50% to 40.1% = 7 points</li> <li>• 60% to 50.1% = 4 points</li> <li>• 60.1% or more = zero points</li> </ul>

Factor	Calculation Methodology	Point Scoring		
		PSH	RRH, TH, TH-RRH	SSO
	<u>Denominator</u> : Total people served.			
E. HMIS Data Quality.	Average of data quality scores for data elements listed in APR Question 6a, 6b, and 6c.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 98% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 95% to 97.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 90% to 94.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 89.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 98% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 95% to 97.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 90% to 94.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 89.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 96% or greater = 10 points</li> <li>• 92% to 95.9% = 7 points</li> <li>• 85% to 91.9% = 4 points</li> <li>• 84.9% % or less = zero points</li> </ul>