Scorecard Revisions and Updates
Returns to Homelessness in The Last Two Years by Race/Ethnicity: 10/1/20-9/30/21

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Race/Ethnicity</th>
<th>Percent of Total Enrollments</th>
<th>% Returning to Homelessness in 2 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>54.7%</td>
<td>57.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>26.3%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-Racial</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>10.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native American</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latinx</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>13.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic/Latinx</td>
<td>12.4%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Legend:
- Blue: Percent of Total Enrollments
- Green: % Returning to Homelessness in 2 Years
% Maintained or Increased Income: 10/1/20-9/30/21

- African American: 65.4%
- White: 71.1%
- Multi-Racial: 68.8%
- Native American: 59.6%
- Asian: 63.5%
- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: 56.3%
- Unknown: 42.4%
- Hispanic/Latinx: 61.1%
- Non-Hispanic/Latinx: 69.0%
Coordinated Entry Evaluation
What do We Know?

Are you familiar with the new coordinated entry system?

Have you completed any of the new coordinated entry training modules?

What is your familiarity with Coordinated Entry as a concept?
Coordinated Entry Evaluation: Requirements

1) Must be conducted annually
2) Participant and provider feedback must be included
3) Three components to consider:
   1) Compliance Evaluation
   2) Effectiveness Evaluation
   3) Process Assessment
Planning Process:

1. System Coordination Committee will be making a decision on where this evaluation work will live and if a subcommittee will be gathered to conduct the evaluation.

2. Feedback and ideas shared in this meeting today will be shared with the SCC Committee.
January 2020 Coordinated Entry Evaluation:

1) Two Participant focus groups and interviews—25 participants at three regional locations (Oakland, Berkeley and Hayward)

2) Provider process evaluation – Large and small group in person discussion with coordinated entry providers and funders

3) Analysis of HMIS prioritization data – demographics, subpopulations and matching

4) Coordinated Entry Self Assessment – Required by HUD, completed by SCC Committee
Coordinated Entry Evaluation

1) Last evaluation was published January 2020

2) CoC agreed to postpone evaluation until 2022, but HUD CoC is requesting a plan in February from the SCC Committee

3) Aims today – Gather feedback and suggestions on:
   1) Who/how the evaluation should be conducted – what key players are needed?
   2) Key elements to include in the evaluation
   3) Timeline for evaluation
Recent/Current Evaluation Work on Coordinated Entry 2.0

1) **Self assessment** – Completed by HCSA late 2021 – fulfills “compliance” aspect of CE evaluation

2) Focus Strategies – currently conducting a **quantitative analysis of the CE assessment tool** to look at scoring and indicated referrals by race/ethnicity. Report expected in February

3) HMIS reports – Currently there are some dashboards built to assess components of the system
Open Discussion

1) Who/how the evaluation should be conducted – what key players are needed?
2) Key elements to include in the evaluation
3) Proposed timeline for evaluation