

System Coordination Committee, Fast Housing Working Group Recommendations

The housing models are very diverse in housing funding sources and amounts, from very little per person in our Henry Robinson flagship program to more robust in the Navigation Center programs.

However, the *'flat'/uniform service models* – same service provision arrangement exit funding structure, *widely divergent populations served* – high acuity/would-be PSH track, fixed income/no employment track, employment track, etc., and *uniform program outcome expectations* across all populations, regardless of differing needs/paths do not meet the diversity of needs, housing paths, and outcome potentials in a way that is sustainable ongoingly.

Therefore, the workgroup recommends intentionally diversifying the service models and outcome expectations, determining that they need to be adjusted based on PH resource supply such that there are more targeted approaches and service arrangements as below:

1. Bridge Housing for Group on PSH target (LOS adjustment and remaining low barrier)
2. Target Pop for RRH (Employment-able and modifying and specifying for selection criteria such as stability, interest, etc.
3. And/or adding more housing exit resources

Existing Navigation Center/TH models can either be developed as separately function program models serving these different target populations/service needs, and/or sites could be supplemented in funding and service arrangement to serve both populations with different service structures – if resourced accordingly.

The more targeted models would address the increasing strain to serve the whole spectrum client needs and varying housing paths of the existing flat service model, and enable a more fine-grained referral and placement process and CE redesign moves forward.