

### HUD CoC Committee – Meeting #4

4/21/20– 2:00 – 4:30 pm

Zoom: Topic: HUD CoC Committee Meeting  
Time: Apr 21, 2020 02:00 PM Pacific Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting  
<https://zoom.us/j/99832354100>

Meeting ID: 998 3235 4100  
One tap mobile  
+16699006833,,99832354100# US (San Jose)  
+13462487799,,99832354100# US (Houston)

Dial by your location  
+1 669 900 6833 US (San Jose)  
+1 346 248 7799 US (Houston)  
+1 253 215 8782 US  
+1 301 715 8592 US  
+1 312 626 6799 US (Chicago)  
+1 646 558 8656 US (New York)

Meeting ID: 998 3235 4100  
Find your local number: <https://zoom.us/u/abSGwQcJDU>

**All HUD CoC Committee Meetings are public. Homeless and Formerly Homeless Alameda County residents are encouraged to attend. Public Comment will be taken at the beginning of each meeting and is limited to 2 minutes per person.**

#### Goals for The Meeting

- Public Comment, if any
- Approval of past Meeting Minutes (Meeting #3 – 3.17.20)
- Review and approval of modification of 2020 HUD CoC Work Plan

#	Item	Purpose	Time
1	Welcome and Introductions – Doug Biggs, HUD CoC Committee Chair	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Welcome and introductions and roll call</li></ul>	2:00 – 2:05 pm Committee: Doug Biggs, Paulette Franklin, Marnelle Timson, Riley Wilkerson, C’Mone Falls, Ja’Nai Aubry, Andrew Wicker  EveryOne Home Staff: Elaine de Coligny, Jessie Shimmin, Dorcas Chang, Alexis Lozano  HCD: Patrick Crosby, Andy Duong
2	Public Comment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Public addresses HUD CoC Committee</li></ul>	2:05 – 2:10 pm <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No Public Comment</li></ul>

3	Approval of Meeting Minutes - <b><u>ACTION ITEM</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review and approve past minutes-             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Meeting #3 – 3.17.20</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2:10 – 2:15 pm <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 3<sup>rd</sup> page, Item 5, 3<sup>rd</sup> Column:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Clarify that DV set aside project Ruby’s Place was funded and DV expansion was not</li> <li>○ Typo - should be ‘says’ instead of ‘stays’</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Andrew made motion to approve minutes with edits. Marnelle seconded.</li> <li>• Abstentions: 0</li> <li>• In favor: all</li> <li>• Opposed: None</li> </ul>
4	Staff Report – Elaine de Coligny, EOH Team <b><u>UPDATE</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Update on NOFA</li> <li>• Update on EOH staffing and consulting</li> <li>• Update on HHAP funds</li> </ul>	2:15 – 2:40 pm <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• NOFA:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ HUD recognized how burdened the projects are in COVID-19 response but by law there still needs to be an annual competition. They are working to reduce the burden on the competition.</li> <li>○ Unsheltered NOFA and YDHP are delayed.</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Staffing and Consulting:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ For EOH Staffing, EOH is working on Tides to get approval for CoC manager position to release within a week.</li> <li>○ EveryOne Home is preparing to send out an RFP sometime next week for a consulting firm to help with our NOFA submission.                 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 4 consulting firms are interested</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>• HHAP funds:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Working with Kerry Abbott and Suzanne Warner on defining use of the 5 million that is going to housing subsidy and/or prevention and housing problem solving</li> <li>○ We have committed as a system to using housing problem solving strategy and will use the funding for CoC design and strategy to train and build skills for housing problem solving</li> <li>○ Board of Supervisors will vote next week to receive the HHAP funds</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Management Entity:             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Anticipate an evaluation of what came in from RFI and how to move forward</li> <li>○ Will go through SCC and then come to HUD CoC for a formal vote and then expect to enter a formal MOU negotiation</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Anything you want to communicate to HUD about reducing burden for the NOFA process can be done through the AAQs</li> </ul>
	Request from HMIS Oversight Committee on Consent Policy – Jessie Shimmin, EOH Staff <b><u>ACTION</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Review and approve modification to 2020 CoC Work Plan on HMIS Oversight activity for Review and Approval of HMIS Privacy and Security Plans in June to focus on consent - <u>VOTE</u></li> <li>Discuss goal of incorporating electronic and verbal consent for information on project enrollments</li> </ul>	<p>2:40--3:10 pm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Out of COVID-19, HMIS lead created a new emergency policy in late March/early April to allow for electronic signature and verbal consent to participate in HMIS. This allows HMIS to be done over the phone and in person at a safe distance.</li> <li>This practice is already in place.</li> <li>HMIS oversight co-chairs and Doug worked with Patrick and Jessie to produce a revised policy to include the electronic signature/verbal consent, but still prioritized a hard signature.</li> <li>In general, everyone is supportive of broadening ways to allow consent to be collected during COVID-19 and interested in expanding way we offer consent. This will segue into looking at how we collect things and what is allowable.</li> <li>Suzanne Warner at HCD has recommended creating a document that summarizes what the policy/practice is right now, what is required by HUD, and what we want the policy/recommendations to be.</li> <li>However, it is too big of a lift for the HMIS team to revise the entire privacy and security right now. June would be a good starting place. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HMIS team is overwhelmed by COVID-19 response right now.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Marnelle made motion for the HUD CoC Committee approve the interim change to allow electronic signature and verbal agreement and will wait for report recommendations in June.</li> <li>C’Mone seconded.</li> <li>Abstentions: 0</li> <li>In favor: all</li> <li>Opposed: None</li> </ul>
6	System Performance – Jessie Shimmin, EOH Staff <b><u>DISCUSSION</u></b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>System performance measures submitted. They are provided in packet.</li> </ul>	<p>3:10-3:40pm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Jessie gave presentation on system performance measures that were submitted at the end of February.</li> <li>Discussion: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>People are staying in our programs longer and are not able to resolve their homelessness and there are more people homeless.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

- While the proportion of people exiting out of homelessness is an improvement, we know that we are serving a smaller slice of the overall homeless population.
- Since we will be judge in the NOFA by these performance measures, as a CoC we should look at which measures we want to try to improve and come up with a strategy.
  - Look at returns to homelessness from PSH
  - Look at trying to drive down returns to homelessness with new HHAP investment for housing problem solving
  - How do we make exits stick better since a lot of times people don't have enough income to sustain their housing long term in the private housing market?
    - When it comes to PSH, people move in and stay
    - People's housing fall apart in shared housing or an independent market housing
      - We can target these folks with our prevention funds if this is a measure we want to try and impact
- Looking at system performance measures more often would be helpful.
- It is not a heavy lift to generate the report in HMIS but data accuracy might be an issue.
- Doug, Andrew, Jessie, and Elaine can have a conversation on what performance measures we want to impact and what is the strategies we want to deploy over the next to try and impact this.
  - Maybe look at returns to homelessness or length of time homeless
  - Get back to HUD CoC in a couple of months
- At some point this year, good to have a conversation on how we want to use HIC/PIT and system performance measures to set agenda for impacting performance.
- Possible for programs to run system performance measures? How do we educate program on what is being collected?
  - Can work to educate providers what they are seeing in APR and how that contributes to system performance
  - All agencies should be running reports about how many people are in their program and close to what they think should be in their program

7	System Modeling – Jessie Shimmin, EOH Staff <u><b>DISCUSSION</b></u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The most recent update provided to the System Modeling Leadership is included in the packet.</li> </ul>	3:40-4:10pm <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Jessie presented system modeling work.           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>We folded a racial equity impact analysis into the modeling project which impacts the thinking in what our system needs to be successful.</li> <li>Big shift from one time/time limit support based on funding needs towards ongoing support based on income</li> <li>Thinking about structural racism in a way that puts up barriers and impediments to economic mobility</li> <li>A lot of collaboration and support to do the equity analysis.               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Susan Shelton, Public Health Department, Supervisor Chan’s office are key partners</li> </ul> </li> <li>We are seeing the struggle in making RRH work in this market at this time. We need to connect folks to long term public housing subsidies               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Afraid that people are going to use more RRH in covid-19</li> <li>A lot of people trying to make it work with RRH while they wait for PSH</li> <li>Foregone conclusion is that they can’t afford this market</li> <li>Note that FMR fluctuates and has direct impact on what we get from PSH</li> </ul> </li> </ul> </li> <li>Comments:           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>System modeling has really upscaled our projection of needs to solve homelessness in our current market with the difference between housing cost and people’s income.</li> <li>Impressed with how things have progressed</li> <li>The system modeling work is going to impact the NOFA process and the recommendation the committee makes around the allocation of funds.</li> <li>By Friday, Jessie will have a better version of the system modeling work to circulate publicly.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
X` x` 8	HIC – HCD and Jessie Shimmin, EOH Staff <u><b>UPDATE</b></u>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Update from staff and HMIS lead on progress</li> </ul>	4:10-4:20pm <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Andy presents the HIC.</li> <li>The agencies highlighted in red are the ones HCD does not have contact with.           <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>9 agencies total</li> <li>Need help for these agencies</li> </ul> </li> <li>Rest of the agencies, they have back and forth communication with.</li> <li>Bottom line, HCD will have a pretty complete draft by the end of the month</li> </ul>

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Riley will draft email to individual jurisdiction about agencies in red and ask them to send it on CoC behalf to get a response.</li> <li>• EBCRP – doesn't have SSVF grants anymore. Delete.</li> <li>• In a few weeks, we will have the HDX.</li> <li>• HUD deadline extended to June so the HIC should be available at the next meeting.</li> <li>• Can send draft to Jessie and Joyce for system modeling</li> <li>• HUD CoC can take a look before it is finalized</li> </ul>
9	Announcements and Next Meeting/Agenda	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• COVID-19 Update</li> <li>• Ballot Measure Update</li> </ul>	<p>4:20-4:30pm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dorcas will send calendar invites for rest of HUC CoC meetings.</li> </ul>