SYSTEM COORDINATION COMMITTEE AGENDA
1-08-2019

System Coordination Committee meetings are open to the public. Homeless and formerly homeless Alameda County residents are encouraged to attend. Public comment will be taken at the beginning of each meeting and is limited to 2 minutes per person.

Persons who are unable to attend the meeting may submit written comments. Comments should address an item on the agenda and be submitted prior to the meeting. Comments which include “For Public Distribution” in either the title and/or body of the email or letter will be brought to the attention of the SCC Committee and included in the public meeting notes. Written comments should be submitted to:

jleadbetter@everyonehome.org

or

Julie Leadbetter, Director of System Coordination
101 Callan Ave, Ste 230,
San Leandro, CA 94577

1. Public Comment (Julie) 2:00-2:10pm
   a. Public comment
   b. Reading of written comments submitted, if any

2. Director’s Report (Julie) 2:10-2:15pm
   a. Happy New Year!
   b. Coordinated Entry evaluation complete
   c. Katharine Gale will present her findings and an action plan for CE Refresh in February
   d. Housing Problem Solving training to take place at the end of January, after the training SCC will work to create/revise HPS policies as part of the CE Refresh
   e. CoC/County/Oakland HHAP applications are underway, totaling nearly $40 million for Alameda County over 5 years
   f. Co-Chairs will present a 2020 SCC Workplan in February along with the CE Refresh action plan
   g. CE Management Entity Recommendation to be reviewed January 21 by HUD CoC, if instructed to move forward, then staff will begin drafting an RFI
   h. HMIS recommendations updates:
      i. For April 1, CE will move to project entry/exit model and Clarity will be programmed to auto exit people from CE at six months, according to the policy adopted by SCC. In April we can change the manual to reflect the new auto exit.
      ii. For housing info to be collected, SCC will need to determine the new workflow for CE and communicate to HMIS team about what should be designed after April 1.

3. Urgent Items (Julie) None
4. Discussion Items (Lara)
   a. Spending/outcomes of CESH and HEAP funds (Suzanne/Lara/C’Mone) 2:15-2:45pm
      i. County/CoC funds (Suzanne)
      ii. Large City/Oakland funds (Lara)
      iii. Youth set aside funds (C’Mone)
      iv. CE/System support funds (Suzanne)
      v. Questions/discussion
   b. Coordinated Entry Evaluation (Jessie) 2:45-3:45pm
      i. Presentation
      ii. Questions/discussion
      iii. Suggestions from Committee on what to prioritize for workplan/CE refresh
   c. Committee Membership (Suzanne) 3:45-4:00pm
      i. 4 open seats
      ii. Recruitment suggestions?

5. Action Items for Vote (Lara)
a. None

6. Consent Items
   a. None
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Committee Attendance: Jamie A., Suzanne W., Vivian W., C’Mone F., Calleene E, Marta L., Kerry A., Gloria W., Kate H., Lara T., Alison D. (On Zoom), Jessica L., Nic M., Katharine G., Staff: Julie L., Jessie S., Dorcas C., Laura G.,

1. Public Comment (Julie) 2:00-2:10pm
   a. Public comment
      i. Nic updated committee on housing problem solving trainings
         1. Invites sent out and Nic will follow up with some information
         2. Will look to provide local trainings from trained trainers as quickly as possible
         3. Asking for trainers to commit to providing 2 trainings and with our consultants to do it collectively to community at large
         4. Before committee can create a housing problem solving policy, it needs to see how training went
      ii. Feel free to email any questions to Katharine
      iii. ACES training opportunity (C’Mone can forward out?)
   b. Reading of written comments submitted, if any

2. Director’s Report (Julie) 2:10-2:15pm
   a. Happy New Year!
   b. Coordinated Entry evaluation complete
   c. Katharine Gale will present her findings and an action plan for CE Refresh in February
   d. Housing Problem Solving training to take place at the end of January, after the training SCC will work to create/revise HPS policies as part of the CE Refresh
e. CoC/County/Oakland HHAP applications are underway, totaling nearly $40 million for Alameda County over 5 years
f. Co-Chairs will present a 2020 SCC Workplan in February along with the CE Refresh action plan
g. CE Management Entity Recommendation to be reviewed January 21 by HUD CoC, if instructed to move forward, then staff will begin drafting an RFI
h. HMIS recommendations updates:
   i. For April 1, CE will move to project entry/exit model and Clarity will be programmed to auto exit people from CE at six months, according to the policy adopted by SCC. In April we can change the manual to reflect the new auto exit.
   ii. For housing info to be collected, SCC will need to determine the new workflow for CE and communicate to HMIS team about what should be designed after April 1.

3. Urgent Items (Julie)
   a. None

4. Discussion Items (Lara)
   a. Spending/outcomes of CESH and HEAP funds (Suzanne/Lara/C’Mone) 2:15-2:45pm
      i. County/CoC funds (Suzanne)
         1. HEAP Funds – It was awarded mid 2019 and we received 16 million
            a. Allocation based on PIT numbers and if city made shelter crisis declaration
            b. Require that 50% be obligated but not necessarily spent by January 1st which we met the amount since we have 11 million in contract
            c. Most of the money is going to new projects which tend to get delayed
            d. From state’s perspective, Alameda County looks strong
         2. CESH Round 1 – 1.6 million
            a. Contracts executed and at Board of Supervisors (BOS) for approval
            b. Half a million went to ESG purpose
            c. A portion designated for flexible funds
            d. 300,000 left for system support:
               i. Look at using it to strengthen HMIS
               ii. A portion went to Bitfocus for expansion of licenses
               iii. Look to building out training system
         3. CESH Round 2
            a. Haven’t received funding but have awarded 900,000
            b. Need to back to CoC and look at priorities
      ii. Large City/Oakland funds (Lara)
         1. HEAP – total 12.1 mil
         2. Allocated all and spent about half
         3. Most spent on community cabins, safe parking, hygiene, youth set aside, and shelter (St Vincent is expanding to year round shelter)
      iii. Youth set aside funds (C’Mone)
         1. BOS exploring best way to address youth homelessness
         2. Center for healthy school and HCSA working with SSA in taking lead to put a contract with Covenant House to develop more youth shelter beds
iv. CE/System support funds (Suzanne)
   1. Included above
v. Questions/discussion

b. Coordinated Entry Evaluation (Jessie) 2:45-3:45pm
   i. Presentation – Jessie presented on Coordinated Entry Evaluation findings
   ii. Questions/discussion
      1. Evaluation findings need to be communicated to the HMIS team
      2. What do we design into the system?
         a. Katharine doing her presentation next month
         b. HMIS team will need SCC to make some CE design decisions
         c. Katharine ask for feedback on what committee is hoping she’ll bring in February
            i. It was helpful to have the document that outlines questions that need to be answered and possible way to go about it
            ii. There’s a lot of discussion on who is responsible for operationalizing and who are decision makers
            iii. Help work through discreet pieces (phased assessment, problem solving, etc)
            iv. Workplan with time frame that could be given to mngt entity
            v. Helpful to see what elements go into work plan, input on who is going to do them and where
   iii. Suggestions from Committee on what to prioritize for workplan/CE refresh
      1. See above

c. Committee Membership (Suzanne) 3:45-4:00pm
   i. 4 open seats
   ii. Recruitment suggestions?
      1. Legal partners
         a. East Bay Family Defenders (Laura can extend invitation)
      2. Another rep from HMIS oversight
      3. East Bay Family
      4. Another person with lived experience
      5. Law enforcement/Probation
      6. Housing Developers (SAHA, RCD)
      7. Habitat for Humanity
      8. Substance Use
      9. Someone from YAB (C’Mone can suggest someone)
      10. Faith based (Christine B)
      11. East County Provider
      12. Families – First Five
13. City of San Leandro
   iii. Suzanne and Lara will outreach to Bria (YAB), Anthony (mens’ group that Gloria suggested), Feema, Christine (Faithbased/East County Provider)
   iv. Keep equity and race in mind for committee

5. Action Items for Vote (Lara)
   a. None

6. Consent Items
   a. None