

CoC Name: Oakland, Berkeley/Alameda County CoC

CoC Number: CA-502

This document summarizes the scores your CoC received in the FY 2016 Continuum of Care Program Competition application. It provides three sets of information:

- The CoC's score on several high priority questions;
- A summary of the CoC's scores on the four sections of the application; and
- A summary of the average CoC score, including the highest and lowest scores.

The scores are organized in the same manner as the CoC application. In a separate document, we are publishing a crosswalk showing how the questions in the CoC application were related to the questions in the NOFA.

### High Priority CoC Application Questions

Below is a selection of high priority CoC Application questions that includes the total points available for each of the questions listed and the points received by the CoC for the question. The chart below indicates the maximum amount of points available for each scoring category and the actual score your CoC received.

High Priority CoC Application Questions		
CoC Application Questions	Maximum Score Available	CoC Score Received
<b>1F. Continuum of Care (CoC) Project Review, Ranking, and Selection</b>		
<i>This question assessed whether a CoC used objective criteria and past performance to review and rank projects. To receive full points, CoCs would have had to use performance-based criteria to at least partially evaluate and rank projects. Examples of performance criteria include reducing the length of time people experienced homelessness and the degree to which people exited programs for permanent housing destinations.</i>	10	10
1F-2. In the sections below, check the appropriate box(s) for each section to indicate how project applications were reviewed and ranked for the FY 2016 CoC Program Competition. (Written documentation of the CoC's publicly announced Rating and Review procedure must be attached.)		
1F-2a. Describe how the CoC considered the severity of needs and vulnerabilities of participants that are, or will be, served by the	4	4

<b>High Priority CoC Application Questions</b>		
<b>CoC Application Questions</b>	<b>Maximum Score Available</b>	<b>CoC Score Received</b>
project applications when determining project application priority.		
<b>Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Bed Coverage</b>		
2C-2. Per the 2016 Housing Inventory Count (HIC), indicate the number of beds in the 2016 HIC and in HMIS for each project type within the CoC. If a particular project type does not exist in the CoC then enter "0" for all cells in that project type.	4	3
<b>3A. Continuum of Care (CoC) System Performance</b>		
<i>This question captured the change in PIT counts of Sheltered and Unsheltered Homeless Persons</i> 3A-1a. Using the table provided, indicate the number of persons who were homeless at the Point-in-Time (PIT) based on the 2015 and 2016 PIT counts (or the two most recent years' PIT counts were conducted) as recorded in the Homelessness Data Exchange (HDX)	7	2
3A-3. Performance Measure: Length of Time Homeless. Describe the CoCs efforts to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless. Specifically, describe how the CoC has reduced the average length of time homeless, including how the CoC identifies and houses individuals and families with the longest lengths of time homeless.	7	2
3A-4a. Exits to Permanent Housing Destinations: Fill in the chart to indicate the extent to which projects exit program participants into permanent housing (subsidized or non-sub-subsidized) or the retention of program participants in CoC Program-funded permanent supportive housing.	4	4
3A-4b. Exit to or Retention of Permanent Housing. In the chart provided, CoCs must indicate the number of persons who exited from any CoC-funded permanent housing project except Rapid Rehousing (RRH) to permanent housing destinations or retained their permanent housing between October 1, 2014 and September 30, 2015	3	3
3A-5. Performance Measure: Returns to Homelessness: Describe the CoCs efforts to reduce	4	4

<b>High Priority CoC Application Questions</b>		
<b>CoC Application Questions</b>	<b>Maximum Score Available</b>	<b>CoC Score Received</b>
the rate of individuals and families who return to homelessness. Specifically, describe strategies your CoC has implemented to identify and minimize the returns to homelessness, and demonstrate the use of HMIS or a comparable database to monitor and record returns to homelessness.		
3A.8. Enter the date the CoC submitted the system performance measure data into HDX. The System Performance Report generated by HDX must be attached.	10	10
<b>3B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Performance and Strategic Planning Objectives</b>		
3B-1.2. Compare the total number of PSH beds (CoC program and non-CoC program funded) that were identified as dedicated for use by chronically homeless persons on the 2016 Housing Inventory Count, as compared to those identified on the 2015 Housing Inventory count.	10	6
3B-2.3. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve families from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.	5	0
3B-3.1 Compare the total number of homeless Veterans in the CoC as reported by the CoC for the 2016 PIT count compared to 2015 (or 2014 if an unsheltered count was not conducted in 2015.)	8	8
<b>4B. Additional Policies</b>		
4B-1. Based on the CoCs FY 2016 new and renewal project applications, what percentage of Permanent Housing (PSH and RRH), Transitional Housing (TH), and SSO (non-Coordinated Entry) projects in the CoC are low barrier?	6	6
4B-2. What percentage of CoC Program-funded Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid RE-Housing (RRH), SSO (non-coordinated entry) and Transitional Housing (TH) FY 2016 projects have adopted a Housing First approach, meaning that the project quickly houses clients without preconditions or service participation requirements?	6	6

<b>High Priority CoC Application Questions</b>		
<b>CoC Application Questions</b>	<b>Maximum Score Available</b>	<b>CoC Score Received</b>
4B-4. Compare the number of RRH units available to serve all populations from the 2015 and 2016 HIC.	4	4

<b>CoC Scoring Summary</b>		
<b>Scoring Category</b>	<b>Maximum Score (Points)</b>	<b>Your CoC Score (Points)</b>
Part 1: CoC Structure and Governance	51	44.75
Part 2: Data Collection and Quality	27	26
Part 3: CoC Performance and Strategic Planning	101	70
Part 4: Cross-Cutting Policies	21	18.5
<b>Total CoC Application Score</b>	<b>200</b>	<b>159.25</b>

### **Overall Scores for all CoCs**

Highest Score for any CoC: 187.75  
 Lowest Score for any CoC: 79  
 Median Score for all CoCs: 154.5  
 Weighted Mean Score for all CoCs: 160.7

\*The weighted mean score is the mean CoC score weighted by Annual Renewal Demand. CoCs that scored higher than the weighted mean score were more likely to gain funding relative to their Annual Renewal Demand, while CoCs that scored lower than the weighted mean were more likely to lose money relative to their Annual Renewal Demand.